

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND  
U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

2010 MAR 11 A 10:50

Federal Trade Commission

Plaintiff,

v.

Innovative Marketing, Inc., et al.

Defendants,

AND

Maurice D'Souza

Relief Defendant.

Civil No.: RDB 08-CV-3233

)  
CLERK'S OFFICE  
AT BALTIMORE  
)  
BY DEPUTY

~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER

Upon consideration of Defendant Marc D'Souza's Unopposed Motion for Extension of Time in Which to Serve Rule 26(a)(2) Disclosures Regarding Experts, and being fully advised, the Court finds the motion should be granted.

WHEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED as follows:

1. Defendant Marc D'Souza's Motion to Unopposed Motion for Extension of Time in Which to Serve Rule 26(a)(2) Disclosures Regarding Experts is GRANTED; and

2. The Scheduling Order (Docket No. 147) is modified as follows:

Defendant's Rule 26(a)(2) March 26, 2010  
disclosures re experts

Plaintiff's rebuttal Rule 26(a)(2) April 9, 2010  
disclosures re experts

Rule 26(e)(2) supplementation of April 16, 2010  
disclosures and responses

3. All other dates in the Scheduling Order remain unchanged.

Dated: 11<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2010

Richard D. Bennett  
Richard D. Bennett  
United States District Judge